

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/543,140	KINOSHITA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
HENOK G. HEYI	2627	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) HENOK G. HEYI. (3) _____.
 (2) Luminita A. Todor. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 December 2009

Time: 10:30

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 6,16 and whatever else depends on these claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Henok G Heyi/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2627

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed to applicant's representative to insert the claim limitations of claims 2 and 6 into claim 1 in order to put the claim in condition for allowance. Ms. Todor agreed to the claim amendment after discussing it with her client. There was also a minor spelling error in claim 16 that we discussed and agreed to change that too..